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1. Executive Summary

This document is a summary of the key findings and recommendations based on the outcomes of TER4RAIL technical Work Packages (WP 1, 2, 3,) and more specifically Task 1.3, Task 2.1, and Task 3.2.

**WP1 Rail innovative research observatory.**

TER4RAIL has identified and monitored new opportunities for innovative research and facilitate the cross-fertilisation of knowledge from other disciplines through the Rail Innovative Research Observatory. Permanent contact with other relevant sectors has had a prominent role in importing disruptive perspectives from other disciplines and facilitating interactions.

It is expected that the Rail Innovative Research Observatory will be the basis for the synergies identified by ERRAC’s Strategic Research Innovation Agenda (SRIA) which will contribute to a more successful multimodal rail research in Horizon Europe (HE).

**WP2 Roadmaps.**

TER4RAIL has determined and assessed the existing roadmaps that drive the future of railways and compared them with the interpretations obtained from the observatory. This analysis has indicated the gaps that require to be covered and served as the anchor for the prospective roadmaps. These gaps referred to:

- Lack of a user centredness approach,
- too short-term political approach for railways,
- too low multi-modal approach,
- and too scarce attention to on-demand mobility.

Furthermore, a Delphi panel populated by railway and non-railway experts have issued opinions of the key statements of the current ERRAC Rail 2050 Vision, pointing out divergences between their perceived plausible future of transport and the railways vision. These findings should help to write the next vision for railways of the future, which should pursue more integration with other modes of transportation. For that, engagement at institutional and individual level in non-railway areas should be actively pursued.

**WP3 Arguments supporting rail.**

TER4RAIL considers railways as the backbone of future European mobility, as stated in the rail sector’s European Railway Research Advisory Council’s (ERRAC) Rail 2050 Vision published in December 2017, and therefore TER4RAIL has raised arguments to sustain this essential system. To that end, data analysis and statistical reporting were foreseen and conducted. Success stories of railways were told in urban/rural, high speed and freight with large margin of growth, cost advantages in scale economies (while sustainable) together with important societal benefits.
2. Abbreviations and acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation / Acronyms</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5G PPP</td>
<td>5G Public Private Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALICE</td>
<td>Alliance for Logistics Innovation through Collaboration in Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCA</td>
<td>Cross-cutting activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSA</td>
<td>Coordination and Support Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECSEL</td>
<td>Public-Private Partnership for Electronic Components and Systems for European Leadership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECSO</td>
<td>European Cyber Security Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECTP</td>
<td>European Construction, built environment and energy efficient building Technology Platform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERRAC</td>
<td>European Rail Research Advisory Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERTRAC</td>
<td>European Road Transport Research Advisory Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETPs</td>
<td>European Technology Platforms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>euROBOTICS</td>
<td>Partnership for Robotics in Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFE</td>
<td>Fundación de los Ferrocarriles Españoles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FoF / EFFRA</td>
<td>Factories of the Future' public-private partnership &amp; European Factories of the Future Research Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HE</td>
<td>Horizon Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP</td>
<td>Innovation Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAAP</td>
<td>Multi-Annual Action Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPPs</td>
<td>Public Private Partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2R</td>
<td>Shift2Rail JU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPARC</td>
<td>The Partnership for Robotics in Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRIA</td>
<td>Strategic Research Innovation Agenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UIC</td>
<td>Union Internationale des Chemins de fer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UITP</td>
<td>Union Internationale des Transports Publics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIFE</td>
<td>Union des Industries Ferroviaires Européennes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG</td>
<td>Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP</td>
<td>Work Package</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Background and objectives

The present document constitutes the Deliverable D4.3 “Knowledge transfer and exploratory research strategy” a 24-month coordination and support action for transversal exploratory research activities to benefit the railways, within the overall framework of Shift2Rail, which is developing the fundamental building blocks that will allow the creation of the future railway interoperable system.

It is linked to Task 4.2 Exploitation: Knowledge transfer and exploratory research strategy of Work Package 4.

This document provides recommendations regarding the needs, challenges, and possible collaborative research on emerging innovative ideas and key enabling technologies.
4. TER4RAIL Key findings and recommendations

4.1. WP1 Rail Innovative Research Observatory

The Work Package 1 of TER4RAIL project aimed at monitoring, identifying and analysing new opportunities for innovative research for the rail sector. The WP also focused on facilitating interactions that may lead to transversal exploratory research and knowledge transfer. Finally, it included a mapping of existing links between non-rail European Technology Platforms (ETPs) and Public Private Partnerships (PPPs).

With the aim to build a Rail Innovative Research Observatory, WP participants organised interactions and shared experiences between the railway sector and other actors willing to boost innovation (regardless of whether they belong to the sector or not). The establishment of these interactions and their content will continue to support the identification of opportunities for innovative research and innovation coming from other sectors.

The basis for this work has been provided by Deliverable 1.1. “A comprehensive map of rail innovative research and key rail stakeholders” and Deliverable 1.2 “A report on the features of the next scenarios: Overview of the rail missions 2050”. These two deliverables have identified and prioritised which topics to address and which actors to focus on in Task 1.3: Digitalisation and materials were identified as most important in the medium term. In the more short-term future 5G, automation, batteries, big data and energy were identified and the long-term future topics that are of highest concern are artificial intelligence, automation, power sources and autonomous mobility.

The methodology employed for establishing the above-mentioned RIRO consisted of defining the issues of discussion, the communication channels actions and the interaction between the rail sector and actors willing to boost innovation, e.g. one-to-one contact, integration of dedicated sessions in rail sector meetings and others. A continuously updated Action Plan and close cooperation with S2R and ERRAC stakeholders has guided the development of the work.

Many kinds of interactions were organised such as the integration of non-rail experts into existing rail events, one of the most prominent ones being the ERRAC plenary where on one occasion cyber security experts were invited to discuss with rail stakeholders. UITP included a session on Urban Scenarios with architects and other non-transport experts into their annual event and UNIFE included TER4RAIL presentations in their Technical Plenary. Other types of interactions were guest presentations at non rail events such as an intervention at the plenary of the European Construction Technology Platform (ECTP) where TER4RAIL was presented. Smaller and informal exchanges were also within the scope of task 1.3 and task partners engaged in several bilateral meetings to update on the TER4RAIL results and identify opportunities for future rail research collaboration.
In addition to the interactions the WP included a cross-sectoral mapping of Shift2rail activities with projects of the non-rail European Technology Platforms and Public-Private Partnerships. These mappings provided a scan of existing links in R&I priorities and ongoing projects between these entities and the rail sector. The approach to these mappings was to analyse for each of the identified ETPs and PPPs the following:

- mention of railways or Shift2Rail in key documents
- analysis of S2R members that are also members of the platform/partnership
- analysis of the non-rail actor financed projects based on a set of key words. Linkage of research and innovation projects directly or indirectly related to railways, and with S2R TDs and projects
- other information considered relevant such as innovation capabilities, interesting organisational or structural information, etc.

It can be said that all mappings reveal some degree of linkage between the ETPs/PPPs analysed and the rail sector. Some of them mention railways directly and have already identified rail as a use case, which, to give an example, is the case of 5GPPP. Others have slighter connections despite the relevance of their technology field for the railway sector, such as EURobotics where the rail sector can be considered a use case for several applications in the future. The mappings also identify whether each ETP/PPP has financed research and innovation projects with direct application to railways (as clear end user, including a pilot case or with relevant participation of a railway sector stakeholder), analysing the possible connection with S2R’s IPs and projects; and identifies working groups or parts of their structure that may offer opportunities for the rail sector engagement. The following table provides a visual overview of these aspects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5GPPP</th>
<th>ALICE</th>
<th>ECSEL</th>
<th>ECS</th>
<th>ECTP</th>
<th>ERTRAC</th>
<th>FoF</th>
<th>SPARC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Railways explicitly mentioned at key documents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail related projects already in place</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possibility of participation of railways stakeholders through already established structures inside the PPP/JU</td>
<td>Vertical Engagement Task Force Transport</td>
<td>WG3 Sectoral Demand Transportation</td>
<td>Infrastructure and Mobility Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td>Topic Group on Logistics and Transport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 – Summary of synergies identified at the technology mappings
Going through the different sections included at the mappings, some general conclusions can be drawn:

The fact that all ETPs / PPPs analysed mentioned railways or trains at least in one of their key strategic documents is an indication of the potential connection between their activities and the sectors they represent with the railway sector. There are differences between them with regards to the frequency and relevance of the role played by railways for their policies, agendas and reports. For example, some of them mention railways extensively, such as 5G PPP and ALICE - as railways are an intrinsic part of logistics-, as well as ECSEL and ERTRAC. In others, this connection is made in relation to a specific section, such as the case of ECTP and the area of infrastructures. There are others for which the mention of railways is briefer, but where transport is more widely considered, for example FoF or SPARC. Additionally, Shift2Rail JU is also explicitly mentioned in some documents of ALICE, ECSEL and ECS.

As an example of these interactions the following table summarises how the analysed ETP/PPP are related to the capabilities of rail.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capabilities</th>
<th>5GPPP</th>
<th>ALICE</th>
<th>ECSEL</th>
<th>ECS</th>
<th>ECTP</th>
<th>ERTRAC</th>
<th>FoF</th>
<th>SPARC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Automated train operation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Mobility as a Service</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Logistics on demand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. More value from data</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Optimum energy use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Service timed to the second</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Low cost railway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Guaranteed asset health and availability</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Intelligent trains</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Stations and “smart” city mobility</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Environmental and social sustainability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Rapid and reliable R&amp;D delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 – Relations of the analysed ETP/PPP to rail capabilities
4.1.1. WP1 conclusions and Takeaways

The work of the WP1 of TER4RAIL shows the existing links and possible avenues for increased cooperation between non rail actors and the rail research community. These links range from relatively stable cooperation to lose links that have been established some years ago, in some cases no previous links existed. Importantly however thematic links exist to large extend with the railway sector in a large number of cases for topics such as cybersecurity or new materials.

A valuable contribution has been made by the facilitation of dialogues and exchanges with actors that were not or only to a small extend collaborating with the rail sector. In addition to this, the cross-sectoral mapping of Shift2Rail activities with projects of the non-rail European Technology Platforms and Public-Private Partnerships provides a comprehensive scan of existing links in R&I priorities and ongoing projects between these entities and the rail sector.

Among the most important topics for the rail sector will be issues such as 5G, automation, batteries, big data as well as artificial intelligence, automation, power sources and autonomous mobility.

To work on these topics the rail sector and ERRAC in particular could benefit from making use of the cooperation possibilities with organisations such as the 5G Public Private Partnership (5GPP), Alliance for Logistics Innovation (ALICE), European Cyber Security PPP (ECS) and several other identified.
4.2. WP2 Roadmaps

The WP2 of TER4RAIL consisted to review, support, and improve the sector roadmaps in order to prepare for the subsequent iterations of the roadmapping process.

The need for a higher share of railways in the European mobility was self-evident when considering the increasing pressure European institution place on the transport sector to maintain high mobility levels while reducing the burden on the environment vis a vis the Greenhouse Gases emissions.

In the context of ERRAC 2050 vision, TER4RAIL WP2 members provided a comprehensive vision of the strategic planning of the rail sector by a review of the key roadmaps. They provided an explanatory framework with the chronological development of transport roadmaps, including a detailed review of the most relevant roadmaps published. Furthermore, TER4RAIL WP2 partners identified relevant gaps in the roadmaps, which are impeding the achievement of ERRAC vision of railway as the backbone of sustainable European mobility.

WP2 partners also developed and followed a thorough methodology articulated in three steps and characterised by data collection and data analysis.

Firstly, the research focused on data collection from transport experts through interviews, the Delphi study carried out in Task 2.1, the World Café activity and group discussions. In parallel with the data collection, the research carried out an analysis of the roadmaps published by the ETPs. The roadmaps were collected and monitored to create a database containing the keywords with higher frequency. Lastly, the research identified the nature of the gaps by using the database as a filter for the data resulting from the interaction with transport experts.

As a result, the research clustered the identified gaps in the following four areas:

- **Railway users.** The ETPs underestimate citizens’ individual needs because their roadmaps fail to adopt and understand the prospective users’ needs and habits. The lack of a user-centred approach, together with the lack of inclusion of mobile apps potential and adequate service quality policy, is acting as inhibitor to the sector shift from a “production culture” to a “service culture” which is currently driving the market.

- **Policymakers.** The analysis of roadmaps suggests the long-term vision for the rail sector is often not supported by the necessary actions, due to lack of short-term benefits for the policymakers. The current roadmaps do not stress adequately the needs for political support in the development of connectivity, infrastructure and hard technologies.

- **Multi-modal approach.** The multi-modal approach is heavily included in rail-based roadmaps, while other transport sectors fail to adopt such vision. However, the role of exponential technologies and specific safety and security measures in providing an effective multi-modal approach is often overlooked in the current roadmaps.

- **On-demand mobility.** The roadmaps do not stress the importance of on-demand mobility services in boosting public transportation. However, on-demand mobility affects the everyday life of European citizens, thanks to new technologies able to assist the end-user by supporting the phases of booking, trip planning and connectivity. Although the role of technology is also expected
to be central in the multimodal approach and in the context of railways users, it is rarely mentioned in the current roadmaps.

Each area present recommendations to improve the status quo and thus achieve the ERRAC vision. In particular, it is recommended to integrate the perspective of social-oriented aspects into the roadmaps to be able to understand which barriers prevent the modal shift to rail. Similarly, a stronger and sustained government involvement might be able to overcome the difficulties in adopting a long-term vision. Furthermore, this research stresses the importance of including the rail sector and the multi-modal approach in other transport modes, some of which are currently reluctant to incorporate rail in their research priorities. Lastly, the enhancement of on-demand mobility services, currently lacking, might be able to support declining public transportation in rural areas.

In conclusion, despite the analysed roadmaps belonging to the state of the art, the identification of certain gaps must be seen as a valuable finding for the transport sector. This WP showed which obstacles rail has to overcome to become the sustainable backbone of an energy-efficient transport chain for both passengers and freight. Within the context of sustainable mobility, rail has the chance to provide an integrated and efficient transport system. As other transport modes are expected to become carbon-neutral by 2050, rail has the potential for a successful interaction with other modes. A sustained modal shift to rail will also be in line with the ongoing transport sector de-carbonisation process, which – as a whole – will contribute meeting the objective of the Green Deal without sacrificing the European mobility levels.

All along the project duration, a Delphi survey was conducted to determine if the rail stakeholders believed in the Rail Vision 2050 document and specifically agreed with the statements contained within the document. This was important as the next generation of this document was to be the basis of a Strategic Research and Innovation Agenda (SRIA) for Rail. The Delphi survey revealed the consensus and the dissent in relation to the statements.

While there were issues with rail freight, rail and public transport are clearly the solution to provide mobility for passengers. By 2050, rail will still be the safest mode with zero casualties, and this was recognised and valued by European citizens. Rail will be the backbone of urban mobility with rail considered as the mass transit solution. In cities there will be new energy-efficient station designs. Free access to data from all providers for all modes and all asset and service providers must be shared across the European rail stakeholders, preserving the requirements of some of the parties’ privacy. ICT and Smart Systems development will allow both native and non-native speakers to have easy tailored access to mobility services.

The common themes that were exploited were:

- Market orientation;
- Cost, competition and efficiency;
- Leadership, political issues, lobbying, government intervention for good or ill;
- Lack of seamlessness for many reasons;
- Inadequate speed of reaction/investments compared to Asian competitors;
- Lacking technical/technological innovations and skills;
- Language barriers;
• Different regulations barriers in EU rail space;
• Info, data availability sharing and management;
• Safety and security issues;
• Accessibility and capacity;
• A limiting of scope from universal visions to urban, native and backbone.

In order of % agreement the statements that the Delphi Study had stable consensus on were, by round¹:

Round One stable statements ranked by agreement:

• Rail Freight transport units in 2050 in Europe can communicate with one another as well as with infrastructure and operational facilities, minimising downtime.
• Passengers across Europe are able in 2050 to access real time personal communication and new services for work or leisure continuously, before, throughout and after the journey.
• Rail in Europe in 2050 is the backbone of urban mobility, with intelligent stations at the heart of smart cities, being life-centric places to work, meet and communicate.
• The rail sector of 2050 manages a growing volume of data in Europe contributing to the data economy. Collection, analysis interpretation and prediction are automated to provide consistent up-to-date information, supporting fast, well-informed decisions and business benefits.
• By 2050 rail has maintained its place as the safest transport mode and this is recognised and valued by European citizens. Zero casualties per year is the current status of the rail sector at urban, regional and inter-city level.
• In 2050, rail transport in Europe is the backbone of an intermodal Mobility as a Service for passengers within cities and beyond, meeting the needs of customers, EU citizens and society.
• By 2050 innovative logistics services in Europe are driven by customer demand. Shipments are moved effectively, efficiently, safely and securely through the “Physical Internet”. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Physical_Internet]
• Manned and unmanned autonomous intelligent vehicles operate safely on the same European railway network of 2050, controlled by artificial intelligence based traffic management systems.
• By 2050 European railways are a core part of any smart city planning, mobility management systems, and city fulfilment and delivery services, promoting interconnection by freeing up land which was previously needed by private road vehicles and minimising pollution and congestion
• By 2050 new energy-efficient station designs in Europe provide easy access and seamless interchange across all transport modes, enabling railways to manage growing passenger volumes and mobility demands
• The European rail system of 2050 is fully integrated with the automated multimodal logistic chain forming the backbone infrastructure, comprising new intelligent, automated cross-modal shipment transfer nodes.

¹ Since the panel sizes were different between rounds it is not appropriate to mix the ranking between rounds.
Round Two stable statements ranked by agreement:

- By 2050 the rail freight sector will have to have addressed some fundamental issues around cost, asset utilisation and customer facing connectivity.
- Rail is more of a mass transit solution. Tailor-made autonomous journeys will not be the solution. By 2050 as a backbone, rail in Europe will provide journeys on a regular time table so other "light" transport modes can offer autonomous trips.
- In 2050, by obliging access to data from all providers for all modes and all asset and service providers, relevant information is shared across the European rail stakeholders as a part of the data economy.
- A majority of native speakers in urban areas across Europe will have easy tailored access to mobility services by 2050.
- Only if the rail sector is financially supported through capital investment, large amounts of which are needed now, can the European rail system in 2050 be able to detect, understand and respond to individual and collective European citizens mobility needs, delivering tailored, on demand, integrated end-to-end mobility solutions.
- In 2019, Europe is still a leader in the railway products and services. But by 2050, companies from Japan, South-Korea and China would probably be the new leaders.
- People in cities feel safe and secure using European rail services in 2050 thanks to non-blocking security systems.

These statements offer clear insights into the consensus view of the expert panel that can be used to critique, support or amend the future roadmaps.

4.2.1. WP2 conclusions and Takeaways

The EU is facing the challenge to provide sustainable, satisfactory and affordable mobility. In this context, the EU mobility roadmaps play a fundamental role in designing the future of the Union’s transportation.

Our research aimed at answering the question about which misalignments and gaps characterize the EU mobility roadmaps and whose trends which are not mentioned should be included to ensure rail will be the backbone of the future European mobility.

The data show the mobility roadmaps lack focus on certain topics which are instead essential for achieving ERRAC vision. These topics are related to the following four topics: the necessity of adapting citizens’ needs, user acceptation and integration of railways; the influence of short-term policy on transport developments over the long term; the absence of a multi-modal mindset in every transport sectors to integrate railways; and the need for tailored and on-demand mobility in railways.

Additionally, the research highlighted comparability in some of the keywords mentioned in the transport roadmaps. This characteristic is expected to lead to an accelerated pace in the development of the following five topics: cross-sectoral and cross-disciplinary research; information management systems; physical transport network; safety and security; and digitalization and interconnection of the rail network. Regarding the degree of connection between transport modes, this research showed two important findings. On one side, the transport experts focusing on multi-modal approach and seamless
transport networks emphasize that the railway sector must achieve more collaborations with other transport modes, especially with road transportation. On the other side, non-rail roadmaps frequently lack to mention in their respective transport vision the opportunities arising from collaboration or integration with railway services. Such a characteristic strongly hinders the process of establishing the future European mobility with rail as a backbone.

The investigation and research on key trends within the European transport roadmaps allow the output of task 2.2 to provide a robust foundation for Task 2.3 and Task 2.4. The research undertaken within Task 2.2 developed an overview of the roadmap shortcomings published by the key stakeholder. These shortcomings have been defined as gaps in the European transport and railways visions. Despite while the roadmaps still belong to the state of the art, the identification of certain gaps should be seen as a valuable finding for the transport sector.

Task 2.2 has shown a small glimpse of the potential that rail has to become the sustainable backbone of an energy-efficient transport chain for both passengers and freight. As the shift towards sustainable mobility requires an integrated and efficient transport system as well as secure and clean energy, research demonstrates that a modal shift to rail will act as a major driver for de-carbonization of the transport sector. It is therefore essential to continue informing and advising policymakers and railway operators alike, to continue the trend towards a sustainable, inclusive and future transport.

There are issues with rail freight, but rail and public transport are clearly the solution to provide mobility for passengers. We will present below some recommendations to increase the share of rail freight and passenger mobility:

**Freight:**

In order to increase use of rail freight and for rail to become the backbone for freight, it is imperative that it addresses some fundamental issues; namely cost competitive, asset utilisation and customer facing connectivity. These service user aspects are the important challenges that need to be addressed in order for rail freight to raise expectations and to enable the shift of freight from road to rail.

It is also expected that rail freight transport units will be able to communicate with each other as well as with the infrastructure and operational. This expectation will not become a reality without significant investment and development of the appropriate communication standards towards the intelligent freight train which will communicate over the next generation communication system.

A very challenging target that will need significant support is to move from competitive rail freight to rail taking the lead and becoming the backbone of an intermodal Mobility as a Service for freight. The freight business has not yet been consolidated but there are opportunities with sea transports that lead to success for rail. Intermodal transport with a rail backbone is the segment with a great potential. To achieve this potential there must be investment in terms of capital but also essential research. One way of improving intermodal mobility to improve rail provisions in terminals and close to ports.
Passenger mobility:

With respect to passengers, rail and public transport clearly are the solution to provide mobility. This belief builds on rail’s existing credentials and credibility as the most environmentally friendly form of mass land transport with an excellent safety record. In 2050 rail will still be the safest mode with zero casualties and this is recognised and valued by European citizens. This opportunity is enhanced by the fact that passengers across Europe are to access real time personal communication continuously, before, throughout and after the journey. This situation will only improve as passengers demand connectivity and entertainment through their mobile devices.

One issue that will hold back seamless services is that national rail services do not integrate seamlessly with rail services available in neighbouring countries. This situation must be addressed by research into standards and data sharing. Without serious consideration of this aspect, integrating seamlessly with all other available transport modes would seem unrealistic.

The flagship for passenger services is rail at the backbone of urban mobility with rail considered the mass transit solution. With large numbers comes the responsibility for protection and improvement of service but also the revenue to provide intelligent stations at the heart of smart cities, being life-centric places to work, meet and communicate. This improvement is considered a given factor and it is believed that the expected innovation in mass transit will lead to rail being fully intelligent throughout the entire network. Autonomous vehicles are expected to operate not only within cities but also further away from the city centre, being rail less focused on mass transit and supported by innovations such as pods. Pods, in particular, are being researched and developed for the final stages of the journey. These pods - or fully smart vehicles - may be self-regulating by 2050 in traffic, negotiating vehicle-to-vehicle and vehicle-to-X to determine movement priority and resolve potential conflicts at junctions in the network and reacting to unexpected situations.

Especially in cities there will be new energy-efficient station designs in Europe. They will provide easy access and seamless interchange across all transport modes, enabling railways to manage growing passenger volumes and mobility demands funded by the secondary spend in stations.

Free access to data from all providers for all modes and all asset and service providers must be shared across the European rail stakeholders as a part of the data economy. An additional challenge is to have a harmonised system architecture and data organisation able to support the challenges listed above in an open, interoperable way whilst preserving the requirements of some of the parties’ privacy in terms of data confidentiality. A commitment needs to be made to managing the growing volume of data in Europe contributing to the data economy. Tools will need to be jointly developed for the collection, analysis interpretation and prediction of passenger flows. Passenger information needs to be automated to provide consistent up-to-date information, supporting fast, well informed travel choices and aiding decisions. This will all benefit rail as a business.

Lastly, the majority of citizens in urban areas across Europe will have easy tailored access to mobility services by 2050. Despite Europe is characterised by a variety of languages, ICT and Smart Systems development will allow both native and non-native speakers to have easy tailored access to mobility services.
4.3. WP3 Arguments supporting rail

The overall objective of this WP was to collect, analyse and elaborate a certain amount of available data and statistics regarding the rail’s advantages/benefits in Europe, in order to have a clear picture of the current "as is situation". This picture has formed the basis to support the analysis for future trends/scenarios related to rail passengers and freight mobility in order to facilitate the understanding of their impact on some identified variables, being them societal, environmental or economic. This impact was finally measured qualitatively and, when possible, quantitatively, strengthening the arguments supporting rail as backbone of the European society of the future. Comparisons with other transport modes such as road, air and waterways have been powerful tools utilised to give a clearer and more efficient picture of these benefits and of the role that rail can play in the European society in the years to come. Digitalisation and new technologies’ evolution have been taken into account since they are shaping consistently every citizen’s and every user’s everyday life.

The ambition of rail is to become “backbone of the Europe’s sustainable multimodal transport system of the future, both for passengers and freight”.

The analysis of the current situation of the rail ecosystem and trend projections, plus the significant case studies addressed in deliverable D 3.2, already supported such ambition. WP3 partners incorporated considerations and recommendations made from previous visionary projects with a 2050 rail horizon focus such as Spider Plus, MARATHON, SPECTRUM, D-RAIL and Living Rail.

The progress in the desired directions seems to be somewhat slower than the objectives assumed in the 2010 Transport White Paper targets. In particular, national contributions appear to be behind the ambitions detailed in D.3.1 deliverable “Data Collection/As is situation”.

In order to reinforce and justify positive perceptions of rail progresses, samples of recent virtuous actions have been summarised by exemplary categories:

- High speed rail & cross border developments,
- Local transport & smart mobility,
- Incentives & pricing policies,
- Network & infrastructure,
- Fleet & Rolling stock.

The strengths and weaknesses of the rail ecosystem were then combined with external forces or “drivers of change” that have already been identified and are expected to influence evolutionary change in the next few decades.

The strengths of the rail Ecosystems are the result of its positive relevance in the EU mobility system, the environmental and services dimensions, its highly extensive network and sub-systems, and their overall synergy.
In the last decades, rail has continued to build capabilities despite limited traffic gains but creating the conditions for accelerated growth in the near future. The successful experiences in virtuous countries and the demonstrated continuity of efforts, has allowed us to identify several strengths to be further exploited and expanded if rail is to become a pillar of European mobility.

Sustainability and cost competitiveness are major strengths achievable whenever economies of scale can apply. This potential will increase further after technology innovations. Technology is expected to improve efficiency, safety and security substantially supporting customers’ seamless experiences. The co-modal redefinition of mobility can attract new traffic in a number of business segments. rail infrastructure has both bottlenecks to be solved and significant free capacity, to be exploited with relatively limited “hard” and “soft” investments in order to achieve additional capacity relatively soon.

ICT, especially in exponential technologies, while having huge impact in all mobility segments, will facilitate co-modality (and therefore the role of rail), providing integrated offerings supporting seamless users’ experience.

Rail will benefit from several industrialisation and scale factors; price differential for users will incorporate increasing taxation for less sustainable modes and externalities. Harmonised infrastructural charges will occur partially as a consequence of this.

Rail freight, not only traditional long-distance transport, but also medium and short distances shows potential applying co-modal approaches. Within the various types of “Physical Internet” and other ICT enablers, combined transport has many new & relevant opportunities.

It is important Rail does not forget market opportunities, such as “Night train services“ which nearly disappeared in Europe because of the uncompetitive service quality compared with aviation. Starting in late 2021, night trains connecting European capitals will be reshaped: Paris-Vienna, Paris-Berlin, Brussels-Berlin, Köln-Zurich, Zürich-Barcelona, Zürich-Roma, as examples. This market segment is a new opportunity for Rail through fast and modern restructured services. A number of initiatives are in place for increasingly coordinated development of smart and co-mobility (sharing mobility, bus terminals, parking, etc...) in major cities centred in local hubs with the support of local authorities and local transport companies.

Since public transport in cities is largely subsidised, some cities in Europe, have switched to free public transport. The increase of financial support to public transport, extended to metropolitan areas/entire regions, can be a paradigm shift favouring rail transport. The large success of the trial periods in the cities where free public transport has been introduced could become a driver for more extensive implementation throughout Europe. The Luxemburg is the first country in the world to offer nationwide free public transport which is by far the most significant recent event to point out about local mobility.

The terrible appearance of COVID-19 is expected to heavily modify the market demand, introducing new factors such as telework, tele-meeting, teleconference and tele-diagnostics. These new dynamics will impact the traditional criteria of evaluation of the points of strength of the competing modalities such as Road and Air. While the COVID-19 long term effects cannot be entirely foreseen or evaluated, remote business activities supported by new user-friendly Software Tools could become a long-term tendency, with potential consequences on personal mobility. As such, these new factors could reshape the very core of the current transport business.
model. However, from a first superficial point of view, the rail system's points of strength are likely to increase in International rail Intermodal Transport, compared to its main competitor the “road modality”. Here, drivers’ crossing border operations associated to health control and quarantine seem to be obstacles, as several Press articles and editorials have underlined. Nevertheless, specific studies are expected to address COVID-19 specific impact on personal mobility.

Metro and light rail solutions are expected to be in good shape for increasing their role. In particular in urban space there is no alternative better solution (walking and biking excluded). Sustainability is a major strength. Increasing urbanisation will create better condition for Metro & light rail because of better scale factors and less acceptance of congestion consequences of Road alternatives.

Passenger business is a natural space for growth. While most of the positive patterns are related to HSR innovation, lot of potential can be identified also in more traditional services both in serving commuting and local traffic and in serving other traffic segments.

Being the investments planned according to new co-modal thinking, they are beneficial to the entire rail system, including freight and metropolitan needs.

There are still Weaknesses to face in the rail industry. The long lead time of any innovation has been unavoidable because of the rail complexity, the combination of 27 individually managed systems together with the network extension. The European uniform “Rail Space” is an objective yet to be achieved. High investment requirements are part of this complexity. Service performances can be a weakness unless new service policies enabled by the upgrading of operational capabilities, new processes of communicating and customer relationship management, allow to turn this weakness into a strength. The difficulties of the integration process with reference to liberalisation, harmonisation of regulations, infrastructural charges, etc… are part of the picture. Some EU countries participate to the European progress at different speed and conviction. In rail, unlike road, the service performance is as good as its weakest link and some Countries seem to be unaware of this, penalising the whole rail ecosystem.

Identification of “drivers of change” contribute to point out Opportunities and Threats to the strategic scenario, suggesting ways to leverage Strengths and to mitigate risks related to Weakness. The overall impact of drivers of change is expected to play in favour of the increasing role of rail within the growing mobility demand.

The positive impact mainly includes (Opportunities):

- All modes will significantly improve their sustainability patterns. However, even considering progress in other modes, rail is expected to keep its specific advantages in terms of energy efficiency and emissions of pollutants compared to the Road and Air sectors until 2035. Its lead will likely decrease after this date. Moreover, sustainability is becoming a central issue. COVID-19, and the following factors such as telework, tele-meeting, teleconference and tele-diagnostics, may accelerate the transition towards smarter/responsible mobility due to increased environmental and safety/security awareness. With the growing youth unrest driving towards energy conservation and the
Planet resources respect, the environmental dimension will be a driver for using more rail compared to other fossil fuels depending modes.

- Acceleration of policy developments in favour of public transport can be expected. Fiscal policies will favour more sustainable modes and rail centrality in co-modal perspective.
- Growing demand patterns in future projections are expected better fitting rail (scale economies, intercontinental exchanges, urbanisation, ...)
- Public/private investments and new business models advantaging light assets and innovations, assume a growing role.
- Resiliency and mobility growing R&D capabilities are expected to develop effective solutions for managing dynamics.
- Co-modal integration may benefit of long term more efficient time/space planning.
Main bottlenecks and constraints to monitor include (Threats):

- The EU Commission continuous efforts for the establishment of a genuine single market with adoption and implementation of the Commission Directives for achieving a fully interoperable systems are sometimes finding obstacles due to local interests of limited vision.
- Faster reaction of other modes to adopt ICT and exponential technologies and other dynamics
- The characteristic of being a capital-intensive business might be a threat to the rail sector in specific circumstances. This aspect might represent a bottleneck in the eventuality of limited public resources converging in otherwise successful public-private investments.

All these elements summarised with the SWOT (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats) methodology.

Demand potential evolutions (due for instance to teleworking) and new requirements coming from COVID-19 experience and the transition period from pandemic to the “new normal”, have not been elaborated in their impacts. The impact and analysis of COVID-19 is too recent to be easily integrated within the project time frame.

Rail has much greater development potential for EU Society beyond the “Declared Opportunities”. The “weaknesses” existing in rail services for many years, are mostly self-generated inside the system itself. If the EU Institutions together with the member States would undertake actions to achieve the uniformity of intents, objectives and modular organisation as defined in the EU Railway Packages, the weaknesses would transform themselves in positive elements with benefits to EU Society beyond those currently evidenced.

4.3.1. WP3 conclusions and Takeaways

SWOT methodology have been applied at the rail overall ecosystem as a summary after the exercise application to the individual sub systems as defined in the previous WP3 deliveries.

The strategic objective towards identification of Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats is defined as “Rail to become the backbone of the Europe’s sustainable multimodal transport system of the future, both for passengers and freight”.

The time horizon is intended to be the year 2050.

In this perspective:

- With focus on “internal” competition frame of the rail Industry towards other modes
  - **Strengths** are the characteristics of the rail industry to be leveraged, being relative or absolute advantages, that justify the ambitions to play a substantial role in the overall mobility and support strategies having such a target
  - **Weaknesses** are the characteristics of the rail industry to look after, being unfavourable, that may put at risk the achievement of the strategic objective. Nevertheless, appropriate actions may allow to turn some weakness into strengths.
With focus on “external” comprehensive environment where the rail industry competes
  - Opportunities are the drivers of change that could exploit the advantages of rail
  - Threats are elements whose dynamics could cause trouble for the strategic objective.
### 4.3.1.1. Rail Freight

**Figure 1 – SWOT Freight – Source: New Opera (2020)**

Freight and logistics have a natural space of growth for rail, based on capabilities built with continuity over decades, allowing to identify a number of strengths. In fact, not only long-distance transport, traditional target for rail transport still shows a potential to growth, but also medium and even short distances, especially in the co-modal redefinition of mobility, can unveil new relevant segments of business. Infrastructure has significant free capacity, to be exploited with relatively limited investments (ports, longer trains, bottlenecks) while a number of additional factors – notably technology applications - are expected to improve cost performances and luckily also sustainability, safety and security. Technology may enable new business models beneficial for better use of capacity (as for instance smart contracts and dynamic pricing) as well as enhanced operation planning.

There are still in the rail industry weaknesses to face of which the long lead time of any innovation is unavoidable because of the complexity of a huge ecosystems with dense structure of...
correlations. High investment requirements are part of this complexity. Service can be a weakness, unless new service policies together with new processes allow to turn it into a strength.

The drivers of change are expected to influence the external conditions in an overall positive way. Main opportunities are related to cost differential, expected to grow and features of trade that should better fit rail. Being trade for its nature international, national barriers should be more easily overcome (versus passenger segments) and the pressure of Belt & Silk is a great example of that.

Threats cannot be under evaluated as well. Unfortunately, investments and regulations are mainly oriented by policies and continuity of efforts. The intensity and pace of development are largely outside the control of the rail Industry. Also, the fragmentation of the Road transport industry and the social implication of relevant modal shift may be a barrier to an effective implementation of co-modal mobility of goods. EU countries in the East of Europe as first option they started to finance motorways constructions and road trucking.
4.3.1.2. Tram & Metro (Light Rail + Metro ridership and infrastructure)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STRENGTHS</th>
<th>WEAKNESSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Sustainability, energy efficiency and easier energy transitions, safety differential in future projections</td>
<td>• Service performances not always competitive, inadequate mobility service integration in co-modal mindset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Contribution to congestion reduction in cities</td>
<td>• Long lead time for implementing new services, investments, design/plan/build/on new infrastructures/technologies (versus road solution)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cost advantages in scale economies, long distances, high co-modality potential</td>
<td>• Limits for new infrastructure in areas with already highly dense urbanisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Growing industrialisation benefits from exponential technologies (ICT, DSS &amp; AI, digitalisation, materials, automation &amp; mechatronics, maintenance ...), interoperability, modularisation</td>
<td>• Limited service segmentation not always “inclusive” for passengers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• User-centric approach</td>
<td>• Multiple actors with inadequate collaborative approach between urban and other services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Local passenger transport solutions are fitting specific/relevant needs in self-contained areas</td>
<td>• Noise, vibrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Limited exploration of innovative use of available resources for synergies (HSR, City logistics, Postal/Express Services...)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPPORTUNITIES</th>
<th>THREATS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Fiscal policies favouring more sustainable modes and Rail centrality in co-modal perspective</td>
<td>• Excess of short-term visioning in political priorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Acceleration of policy developments in favour of public transport</td>
<td>• Faster reaction of other modes to adopt ICT and exponential technologies and other dynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Favourable cost dynamics versus Road with appropriate scale</td>
<td>• Dramatic evolution in manufacturing foot print and distribution channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Growing demand patterns in future projections fitting Rail (scale economies, intercontinental exchanges, urbanisation...)</td>
<td>• Limited public resources converging in successful public-private investments might limit their scope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Developments of light assets collaborative/virtual integrated new business models and public/private partnership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Co-modal integration through long-term more efficient time/space planning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Faster acceleration towards responsible mobility after COVID-19 crisis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 – SWOT Tram & Metro – Source: New Opera (2020)

Rail serving urban mobility with Metro and Light Rail solutions is expected to be in good shape for increasing its role. Sustainability is the major strength. In fact, while it is a relevant issue for all mobility, it is particularly relevant in urban space where there is no mass-available alternative powered solution better than rail yet (walking and biking excluded). Contribution to congestion reduction and consequently air pollution in cities is important. A user-centric approach is largely adopted. Cost, when scale factors are appropriate, are competitive and growing industrialisation is bringing additional advantages.

Some weaknesses are a poor co-modal mind-set amongst users and planners, leading to sometimes insufficient efforts to overcome existing barriers in terms of infrastructures and businesses, limiting the integration and scope of mobility services. Coordinating actions involving multiple actors may be not easy even at local level.
The drivers of change are expected to influence the external conditions in an overall positive way for the same macro reasons of other segments even if projected in different geographies and covering different actors and stakeholders.

Specific opportunity can be considered the increasing effort in co-modal integration through long term more efficient time/space planning in coordinating mobility services in complex urban and metropolitan geographies.

Dramatic evolution in urbanisation may be a threat as needs and habits may change very fast.

A potential threat for the urban rail sector could be represented by the growth of unregulated drive sharing and on-demand ‘taxi’ systems, such as Uber or Lyft. These systems could dilute the critical mass of passengers for public transport, especially at the off-peak. Autonomous cars may further dissuade users from sharing public transport, especially if pandemic fears continue in the public psyche of the 21st century. However, while these systems could limit the GHG emissions within city limits thanks to EVs, their development is being met by shrinking public spaces available to cars in numerous European cities. As new lines for soft mobility such as bicycles increase, cars’ opportunities in this context diminish, in line with the possible effects on urban rail mobility.
4.3.1.3. Rail Passengers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STRENGTHS</th>
<th>WEAKNESSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Sustainability, energy efficiency and easier energy transitions, safety</td>
<td>• Long lead time for implementing new services, investments, design/plan/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>differential in future projections</td>
<td>build/on new infrastructures/technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cost advantages in scale economies, long distances, high co-modality</td>
<td>• High capital intensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potential</td>
<td>• Service performances not always competitive, inadequate mobility service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Growing industrialisation benefits from exponential technologies (ICT,</td>
<td>integration in co-modal mindset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS &amp; AI, digitalisation, materials, automation &amp; mechatronics,</td>
<td>• Slow EU harmonisation (liberalisation &amp; regulations, infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maintenance ...), interoperability, modularisation</td>
<td>charges, etc...)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Core and Extended Network drive towards EU Rail area connecting most</td>
<td>• Multiple actors with inadequate collaborative Rail ecosystem approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>population and all big mobility nodes</td>
<td>• Poor dare integration with co-modal services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• HSR is rejuvenating all Rail ecosystem supporting modal shift from air</td>
<td>• Aging staff with unclear replacement plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Limited de-bottlenecks to be overcome for satisfying demand and service</td>
<td>• Limited service segmentation not always “inclusive” for passengers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>growth</td>
<td>• Limited exploration of innovative use of available resources for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Funding of public service contracts</td>
<td>synergies (HSR, City logistics, Postal/Express Services...)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPPORTUNITIES</th>
<th>THREATS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Fiscal policies favouring more sustainable modes and Rail centrality</td>
<td>• The EU Commission efforts for creating a uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in co-modal perspective</td>
<td>Rail space area are sometimes finding obstacles due to local interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Acceleration of policy developments in favour of public transport</td>
<td>of limited vision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Growing demand patterns in future projections fitting Rail (scale</td>
<td>• Faster reaction of other modes to adopt ICT and exponential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>economies, intercontinental exchanges, urbanisation...)</td>
<td>technologies and other dynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Developments of light assets collaborative/virtual integrated new</td>
<td>• Limited public resources converging in successful public-private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>business models and public/private partnership</td>
<td>investments might limit their scope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Resiliency and mobility growing R&amp;D capabilities for managing dynamics</td>
<td>• Faster acceleration towards responsible mobility after COVID-19 crisis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Co-modal integration through long-term more efficient time/space</td>
<td>• The land footprint of a double-track railway line is usually 15m,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>planning</td>
<td>compared to the 28m of a two-lane motorway.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Passenger business is a significant target of growth for rail. This statement is based on more virtuous countries’ experiences, allowing to identify a number of strengths to be further exploited and expanded with true European efforts. While most of the positive patterns are related to HSR innovation, potentials can also be identified in more traditional services both in commuting and local traffic, as well as in other traffic segments. In fact, the co-modal redefinition of mobility can attract new traffic in many business segments. The technology applications are expected to improve user-friendly experience contexts, integration with other modes and cost performances. Furthermore, sustainability, safety and security are expected to improve while still today better than other modes. Lastly, one of the railway sector strengths concerns the low level of land use when compared to the road sector. This is true especially in the context of intercity HSR, as the land footprint of a double-track railway line is usually 15m, compared to the 28m of a two-lane motorway. Considering that a high-speed rail line can transport up to 15000 passengers per direction per hour, the rail lower land footprint is matched with higher passengers’ transport levels than cars.
There are still weaknesses in the rail industry to be faced. The long lead time of any innovation is unavoidable because of the complexity of a huge ecosystem, implying a dense structure of correlations with elements of different nature. High investment requirements are part of this complexity. The difficulties of the integration process with reference to liberalisation, harmonisation of regulations, infrastructural charges, etc., are part of the picture resulting in different speeds for individual countries in participating in the European progress.

The drivers of change are expected to influence the external conditions in an overall positive way. Main opportunities are related to cost differential, expected to grow because of better consideration of externalities and the application of penalties to more polluant transport means. The energy transition towards eco-friendly sources and sustainability considerations represent new opportunities. Acceleration of policy developments in favour of public transport may encourage evolutions.

Major threats are the same as anticipated for Freight and Metro/Light Rail. As such, alignment of the investment efforts in intensity and the implementation pace can be problematic.
4.3.1.1. Rail as overall Ecosystem

The strengths of the overall Rail Ecosystems are the summarised consequences of its major sub systems with the additional impact of their overall synergetic relationships.

In the last decades, rail has continued to build capabilities despite limited traffic gains but creating the conditions for accelerating the growth in the near future. The successful experiences of more virtuous countries and the demonstrated continuity of efforts allow to identify a number of strengths to be further exploited and expanded with true European efforts.

Sustainability is a major strength as well as cost competitiveness. These are going to increase rail service penetration after technology applications. Technology is expected to improve all performances including safety and security and substantially supporting seamless customer experiences. In fact, the co-modal redefinition of mobility, can attract new traffic in a number of business segments. Infrastructure has significant free capacity, to be exploited with relative limited investments (notably HW & SW together with selected infrastructure and other assets) for “quick” additional capacity.

**Figure 4 – SWOT Overall Rail ecosystem – Source: New Opera (2020)**

The strengths of the overall Rail Ecosystems are the summarised consequences of its major sub systems with the additional impact of their overall synergetic relationships.
About Freight, not only long-distance transport, traditional target for rail still shows a potential for growth, but also medium and even short distances, with the co-modal approach, can unveil new substantial demand aspects.

Metro and Light Rail solutions are expected to be in good shape for increasing their role. In particular in urban space there are no better alternative solutions (walking and biking excluded). Sustainability is a major strength.

Passenger business is a natural space for growth. While most of the positive patterns are related to HSR innovation, lot of potential can be identified also in more traditional services both in serving commuting and local traffic and in serving other traffic segments. Being the investments planned according to new co-modal thinking, they are usually beneficial to the entire rail system, including freight and metropolitan needs.

There are still weaknesses in the Rail industry. The long lead time of any innovation is unavoidable because of the complexity of a huge ecosystems with dense structure of correlations. High investment requirements are part of this complexity. Service performances can be a weakness, unless new service policies enabled by the upgrading of operational capabilities, new processes of communicating and customer relationship management allow to turn this weakness into a strength. The difficulties of the integration process with reference to liberalisation, harmonisation of regulations, infrastructural charges, etc... are part of the picture resulting in many different speeds of individual countries in participating to the European progresses.

The drivers of change are expected to influence the external conditions in an overall positive way. Main opportunities are related to cost differential, expected to grow because of better consideration of externalities and the application of penalties to more pollutant transport means.

Threats cannot be under evaluated as well. Unfortunately, investments and regulations are mainly oriented by policies and continuity of efforts. The intensity and pace of development are largely outside the control of the rail Industry. Also, the fragmentation of the Road transport industry and the social implication of relevant modal shift may be a barrier to an effective implementation of co-modal mobility of goods. EU countries in the East of Europe as first option they started to finance motorways constructions and road trucking. Major threats are in any case related to the alignment of investment efforts both in intensity and in the implementation pace.

One has to appreciate that the “Rail as an Opportunity to EU Society” has much greater development potential beyond the “Declared Opportunities”. In fact, the “Weaknesses” which have been existing in rail services for many years are partially self-generated inside the system itself. If one was to imagine that indeed EU Institutions together with the member States would undertake actions for achieving uniformity of intents/objectives and modular organisation in all aspects such as operations and communication in order to overcome the 27 different approaches, the weaknesses would transform themselves in positive elements with enormous benefits to EU Society far beyond the declared ones. Also unexpected critical events (as COVID 19) may accelerate the transition towards smarter/responsible mobility due to increased environmental and safety/security awareness.
5. Conclusions

TER4RAIL project was structured in 5 WPs, 3 of them (WP1, WP2, WP3) thematic, being represented in the figure below:

![TER4RAIL WPs Diagram]

**Figure 5 – TER4RAIL WPs**

WP1 objectives were to:

- Identify, monitor, and facilitate the analysis of new opportunities emerging from the rail sector and other sectors for a more successful rail research.
- **Stimulate the transfer of knowledge** within and outside the sector.
- Structure interactions and **stimulate networking, cross-fertilisation, common collaboration and the kick-starting of new ideas**.

![TER4RAIL WP1 Chart]

**Figure 6 – TER4RAIL WP1**
WP1 conclusive remarks are:

- Rail Innovative Research Observatory provides complementary knowledge of Rail R&D status, evolution and vision
- Excellent exercise to map the rail related work developed by other sectors and their relation/influence with the railway sector. It stimulates networking, contributes to the transfer of knowledge and cross-fertilisation.
- TER4RAIL only provided a baseline. There is a need of more resources to broad the exercise to more sectors and programmes. Especially relevant for Horizon Europe – Cluster 5: Climate, energy and mobility.

WP2 objectives were to analyse, compare and get feedback on the existing roadmaps for railways, see methodology below:

![Figure 7 – TER4RAIL WP2](image)

WP2 main conclusions are that the roadmaps have major shortcomings in:

- Adapting citizen’s needs, user acceptation and integration of railways;
- The influence of short-term policy on transport developments over the long term;
- An absent multi-modal mind-set in all transport sectors towards the integration of railways; and
- The need for tailored and on-demand mobility in railways.
WP2 Delphi panel pointed out the following common themes to be reflected in the next railway roadmaps:

- Market orientation;
- Cost, competition and efficiency;
- Leadership, political issues, lobbying, government intervention for good or ill;
- Lack of seamlessness for many reasons;
- Inadequate speed of reaction/investments compared to Asian competitors;
- Lacking technical/technological innovations and skills;
- Language barriers;
- Different regulations barriers in EU rail space;
- Info, data availability sharing and management;
- Safety and security issues;
- Accessibility and capacity;
- A limiting of scope from universal visions to: Urban, Native, Backbone.

WP3 of TER4RAIL compiled and organised lots of data of railways performance in Europe, as example issued successful stories, (see figure 8) and finally carried a SWOT analysis (see figure 9).

**Passengers**
- **Madrid/Barcelona:**
  - HSR line is operative since 2008
  - It has a maximum speed of 300km/h, covering the distance in 2.30 hours
  - 83% of the citizens are satisfied
  - It avoided 4.2M tons CO₂, equal to 13kg CO₂ per person (compared to 74kg pp. by car / 92kg pp. by aeroplane)
- **Vienna:**
  - Metro & LRT account for 79.5% of total ridership, with an increase of 38% in the period 1993-2018
  - In the period 2010-2019, investments are in average 324M€ per year
  - 99% of the citizens are satisfied
  - Users are involved and new technologies are employed: Wien Mobil apps for booking and planning
- **Nantes:**
  - Tram lines are 43km long, supported by Park&Ride facilities on the network
  - It transports 300,000 people every day, a 54% increase in 10 years

**Freight**
- **Tiger Dry Ports System Adopted all over EU:**
  - Train capacity increased by up to 20%, shunting movements diminished
  - Dwelling & transit time have been reduced up to 92%, slot utilization optimised
  - Service performances increased by 85% while reducing congestion and accidents
- **Marathon Train:**
  - Longest freight train in Europe (1,524m), formed by 72 wagons and weighting 4,026 tons
  - It is characterised by two radio-controlled locomotives: one in the front, one in the middle
  - It has been tested between Lyon and Nimes (300km) at 100km/h with diesel and electric locomotives
  - The results showed increased capacity by 50%, decrease in operating costs by 30% and 10% energy saving

*Figure 8 – TER4RAIL WP3 Success Stories*
The overall conclusive remarks of the project are summarised below:

- The Rail Innovative Research Observatory could be the basis for the synergies identified by ERRAC SRIA and contributes to a more successful multimodal rail research in HE.
- Railways should be considered in non-railway roadmaps as the key mode to be integrated with. For that, engagement at institutional and individual level in other areas should be pursued.
- Success stories of railways can be told in urban/rural, high speed and freight with large margin of growth, cost advantages in scale economies (while sustainable) together with important societal benefits.
- There are high chances to achieve having railways as the backbone of European mobility, however it needs the railway sector to actively engage with the outside.

**Figure 9 – TER4RAIL WP3 railways SWOT**

**STRENGTHS**
- Sustainability, energy efficiency and easier energy transition, safety differential also in future projections
- Cost advantages in scale economies, long distances, high co-modality potential
- Growing industrialization benefits from exponential technologies, interoperability, modularization
- Core and Extended Network drive towards EU Rail area connecting most population and all big mobility nodes
- HSR is rejuvenating all Rail ecosystem
- Limited de-bottlenecks to be overcome for satisfying demand and service growth
- Local passenger transport solutions are fitting specific/relevant needs in self contained areas

**WEAKNESSES**
- Long lead time for implementing new services, investments, design/plan/build/ on new infrastructures/technologies
- High capital intensity
- Service performances not always competitive, inadequate mobility service integration in co-modal mind-set
- Slow EU harmonization
- Multiple actors with inadequate collaborative Rail ecosystem approach
- Aging staff with unclear replacement plans
- Inadequate internationalization and competitive patterns
- Limited service segmentation not always "inclusive" for p.
- Limited exploration of innovative use of available resources for synergies (HSR, City logistics, Postal/Express Services, ...)

**OPPORTUNITIES**
- Fiscal policies favouring more sustainable modes and Rail centrality in co-modal perspective
- Acceleration of policy developments in favour of public transport
- Growing demand patterns in future projections fitting Rail
- Developments of light assets collaborative/virtual integrated new business models and public/private partnership
- Resiliency and mobility growing R&D capabilities for managing dynamics
- Co-modal integration through long term more efficient time/space planning
- Faster acceleration towards responsible mobility after COVID 19

**THREATS**
- Rail is a high capital intensive business, public resources are limited
- The EU Commission efforts for creating a uniform Rail space area are sometimes finding obstacles due to local interests of limited vision
- Faster reaction of other modes to adopt ICT and exponential technologies and other dynamics